

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/776,009	02/02/2001	Michael A. Vyvoda	MA-027	7430	
33971 7	590 07/28/2003				
MATRIX SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.			EXAMINER		
	BOULEVARD RA, CA 95034		MAI, A	MAI, ANH D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2814		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	,			
Advisory Action	09/776,009	VYVODA ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Anh D. Mai	2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 03 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in	ed			
·	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPI R 1.136(a) and the appropriate exunt of the fee. The appropriate exoriginally set in the final Office ac	EP ctension xtension tion; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendr	ment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>See</u>		dered but does NOT place	the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· · ·					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
B. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or /b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449),Paper No(s)	Janan College				
10. Other:	0 9					
	SUPERVISORY FI TECHNOLOGY	RIMATY BUILDINER COOK FATTER 2000				

f.



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the combination of the references clearly renders claim 1 obvious as shown in the Office Action.

Applicants appear to contend that Lee does not specifically indicate the difficulty of removing particles following the CMP, thus, can not be used to reject the claimed invention.

However, the claimed invention is a semiconductor device, where the planar surface of the wafer comprises semiconductor material and oxide dielectric material, which is clearly tqughty Lee. Additionally, the exposed oxide on the surface of the semiconductor substrate clearly sufficient to attract enough water for a cleaning process. Furthermore, wet cleaning is not the only way to remove particles during a manufacturing process. Applicants fail to provide any facts that the surface of the semiconductor substrate of Lee does not attract enough water.

The rejections are therefore, maintained. .